

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Right.

SENATOR WESELY: ...and then people didn't like that, so...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yeah, I see, Article III, Section 1 says, "Commencing with the regular session of the Legislature to be held in January, 1937, the legislative authority of the state shall be vested in a Legislature consisting of one chamber." So I assume that what Senator Chambers is talking about, that we could already do what we're talking about here without a constitutional amendment, might not hold up. So I just wanted to clarify that. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Schimek. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, Senator Vrtiska made a point and I say it's well taken. I shouldn't have handed around a paper until it was closer to the time when I would explain it, because I don't want you on pins and needles. But you'll just have to wait, if you have any interest, and I assure you I'll be able to explain it. Everybody can't see what I see from where I sit. But there are things that occupy my mind of a deep nature. I'm looking at, as I stand, to the right of that projection from the wall, and there is a woman holding a little baby. And because of the way the light is falling, it casts a shadow to the right of her. And if you can see George Washington's nose, his mouth and his chin, there is the profile of George Washington on the front of the cap...of our Chamber. You see, he's got his chin elevated, chest forward, very proud of something or other, I presume, or he's a little tipsy and he's trying to find his way home. But at any rate, I thought I'd call attention to something that I'm sure everybody can understand when they just look at it. Now to try to come back to earth where we are. Many things about the Legislature are presumed without there being a concrete knowledge of whether those things be so. It would be good if we would start by reading the constitution and seeing just what it provides with reference to the Legislature. Then you would understand, even if you don't agree with what I say, that there are times you'll understand why I say it, we propose to change the constitution on the basis of whim. If we're not sure what the constitution says now, what it provides now, what we're not prohibited from doing now, then how can we rationally and intelligently formulate changes to that constitution? I have an